Litchfield Planning Board September 1, 2009 **Litchfield Planning Board Town Hall Conference Room** September 1, 2009 Minutes approved 9/15/09 **Members present:** Alison Douglas, Chairman Edward Almeida, Vice Chairman Leon Barry Jayson Brennen (arrived at 7:25 p.m.) Frank A. Byron, Selectmen's representative in place of Selectman Steve Perry **Members not present:** Marc Ducharme, Clerk Carlos Fuertes Also present: Steve Wagner, Nashua Regional Planning Commission, Circuit Rider **AGENDA** 1. Growth Allocation per LZO 2100.00 2. Discussion regarding Residential Driveway Regulations and Permit **Proposed Appendix J Litchfield Regulations** 3. Conservation Subdivisions Work Session 4. Any Other Business - Approve 8/18/09 minutes - New Applicant - Correspondence Chairman Douglas called the meeting to order at 7:12 p.m. 1. Growth Allocations per LZO 2100.00 There is only one (1) Certificate to Build to be allocated for September. The total certificates available for the year were twelve (12).

September 1, 2009

1 2

Mrs. Douglas **ENTERTAINED A MOTION** to allocate one certificate to Theroux 3 Subdivision. Mr. Barry **MOTIONED** to allocate one certificate to Theroux Subdivision 4 Route 3A. Mr. Byron seconded. Motion carried 4-0-0.

5 6

2. Residential Driveway Regulation

7 8

9

10

11

Mr. Steve Wagner informed the Board that he had met with Road Agent John Pinciaro and Code Enforcement Officer Kevin Lynch to review their concerns with the proposed driveway regulation. Mr. Wagner went over some of the changes made after his meeting with the two officials. It was noted that the regulation states the Building Inspector to oversee driveway permits in consultation with the Road Agent.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The definition section was removed. Mr. Wagner told the Board that the Building Inspector, Mr. Lynch, thought there would be confusion with other definitions elsewhere in the subdivision regulation, the zoning regulation and site plan. Chairman Douglas said that she prefers that the definitions remain in the driveway regulation so that they are more easily accessible where you need to see them. Mr. Wagner said he would provide a list but he feels the definitions should be listed in one area and not scattered about. He will highlight the definitions already in the zoning ordinance, site plan or subdivision regulations.

21 22 23

Member Jayson Brennen entered the meeting at 7:25 p.m.

24 25

Mr. Byron mentioned years ago the townspeople voted no driveways off of Albuquerque Avenue and indicated that perhaps it should be in the driveway regulation. To this, Mr. Wagner replied that it is not in this regulation because it is already set forth in the zoning ordinance.

28

31

32

33

34

35

36

26

27

29 30

Section 1.4.5 - It is recommended to contact the Administrator or Planning Board staff for their procedures, fees and deadlines, prior for submission. Mr. Wagner said that he had no idea what Kevin (Lynch) is going to do for fees at this point. The Administrator refers to Kevin Lynch but this could be changed to say Building Inspector. A fee schedule needs to be determined for the permits. Mr. Barry asked why it mentions planning board staff if it is the building inspector. Mr. Wagner replied that the applicants would have to deal with the planning board for new subdivisions coming in but he will discuss this sentence with Kevin when he discusses the fee schedule.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

Mr. Byron added you have a developer that comes in who has a large parcel to subdivide, and the Planning Board would work with the developer to determine curb cuts for driveways, etc. The other case is say Alison has a home and wants to put in a driveway and build a garage on one side of the house and you want a driveway on the other side, instead of going to the Planning Board, you would go to the Building Inspector and request a driveway permit.

September 1, 2009

Mr. Brennen: If someone was going to redo their driveway, they would have to come in for a permit. With respect, it is an existing condition and they are just paving it over, is

someone going to inspect this and say you need to do a \$10,000 culvert, or it does not meet the specs, etc. you would have to rip it all up.

Mr. Wagner: Kevin would go out and see what they want to do, maybe just putting a top coat because the driveway is worn, that is fine but again we need to make sure there is not an issue with the driveway and/or the road. The main concern is to protect the road edge and drainage.

Mrs. Douglas: Say, I want to repave my existing driveway that I have had for 30 years. I get a permit and he has to inspect it and if he finds a problem even though it has been there for 30 years, then what?

Mr. Wagner: I do not really have an answer if you just want to pave your driveway.

Mr. Barry felt there should not be a permit needed to just pave a driveway.

Section 1.4.1 Application - Any person wishing to construct or alter a residential driveway on an existing lot of record shall obtain a Driveway Permit. Mr. Byron believes it refers to a new driveway, or you want to make it wider or longer; if you are just paving, that does not strike me as altering.

Mr. Wagner: I think in the private portion that is true but in the public right-of-way, it would be wise to contact the Road Agent to make sure there are no issues. There may be a certain pitch to the driveway.

Mr. Wagner told the Board that he would add a more definitive sentence. Mr. Brennen pointed out he does not know if the last bolded sentence is needed and suggested making it a simple permit fee and not have a menu list of different things.

Mr. Byron: Is there ever going to be a case of a subdivided lot coming back to the Planning Board? The reason I am asking is the Planning Board going to set a fee for the driveway, if you are going to do that, then that has to drive Kevin's fees. If you are not going to do that, then you are going to have to charge a driveway fee for each subdivision application that comes in, or is that driveway considered part of the subdivision?

Mr. Brennen: It could be part of the building permit.

Mr. Wagner: For site plan, it will be part of our road improvement included in the application fees. For subdivision, if you had a 15 acre lot we are going to approve the location of the driveway but you would still have to pull a permit for a driveway.

September 1, 2009

Mr. Brennen: I would think the Planning Board's responsibility would be for new subdivisions that come in and verify that their specs meet the driveway specs. Once we approve that plan...it is out of our hands. Then the Building Inspector when they come to

build the house and put the driveway in, they would have to pull a permit and he handles all the fees.

Mr. Wagner: That is close. The impression I got from Kevin is permits are not subdivisions because we haven't gotten into ditch lines, and culverts and slopes.

Mr. Byron asked what happens if someone wanted to do a crushed gravel driveway. Mr. Wagner said that the Town is going to require a paved apron in the Town right-of-way to protect the road. There is a specification in the regulation that uses the asphalt society specs for driveways.

Section 1.5 Administration Temporary access permit was taken out because the Board thought it not to be an issue. Section 1.5 has been reworded.

Appeals - Section 1.5.2 Mr. Byron: One of the questions I was going to ask on the appeals, it is kind of vague as to who the appropriate authority is to appeal the decision to and what the time period would be and stuff like that. I would imagine that the appropriate authority, or some person, would appeal any decision of the building inspector's to the ZBA.

Mr. Wagner: An appeal would only go to the ZBA if it was referring to something to do with zoning or if an error of the administrator.

Mr. Byron: So, would it go to the Code Enforcement? You are going to promulgate this as part of the ability to regulate subdivisions, right?

Mr. Wagner: There are a number of ways it would be appealed; it could fall back to something to do with our planning board approval, appeal to the planning board first or Superior Court...

Mr. Brennen suggested treating it like an abatement where it goes to the Board of Selectmen.

38 Mr. Byron: I think Steve's point is it is a mixture of zoning as well as regulations.

40 Mr. Wagner: I could reference specific statutes.

42 Mr. Byron: What I am confused about is you have design features in there and if you have design features in there, shouldn't it be part of the zoning ordinance?

September 1, 2009

Mr. Wagner: No, those would be regulatory depending on what they are. Setbacks, lot dimensions, things like that are zoning but design guidelines, buffers, things like that are regulations. Specifications like 24 foot wide maximum apron width, that would be regulatory and that would be appealed back to us or the ones who adopted the regulation.

Mr. Wagner will check further into the appeal process.

Mr. Brennen questioned why the Planning Board is setting up rules for driveways that another department is going to enforce. He agrees with new driveways but he is a little bit antsy talking about making rules for what the Building Inspector has to do and wonders if that is in the Planning Board's area.

Mr. Wagner: Under RSA236:13 (Driveway Regulations) it seems the authority to regulate driveways is placed under the planning board and gives the planning board the authority to delegate the power to the administrator.

Mr. Byron indicated that it has to be clear as to when the Planning Board gets involved and when the Building Inspector gets involved. He has no problem with the Planning Board authorizing and delegating to the Building Inspector.

Section 1.6.3.1 u. Shared driveways and side-by-side driveways were discussed. Mr. Wagner pointed out that the Planning Board has a policy of no shared driveways and this can be taken out of the regulation if the Board so desires. Mr. Wagner told the Board that the building inspector felt it is useful to have shared driveways because of what the Board is trying to do with conservation subdivisions and workforce housing. This would be a way to reduce costs and give the right incentive to developers and offer higher density lots, smaller lot size and there is a plus to have the ability to do shared driveways.

Mr. Barry suggested that shared driveways be specified in the workforce housing and conservation subdivisions rather in the driveway regulation. Mr. Wagner indicated if the Board wants to go with shared driveways, he would need more time to iron out the specifications. Mr. Byron is not too keen on shared driveways either but he can see where in some cases where the lot configuration would benefit shared driveways but it is few and far between. Mr. Wagner indicated that another way to do it is by special exception where we layout the criteria but they would be required to go to the ZBA.

Alternative lot design - Mr. Wagner said it is different than just standard driveways because is allows a certain percentage of the total subdivision lots, reduced frontage and allows another lot in the back. It was agreed to pull Item u. Shared driveways, page 3.

Mr. Byron suggested Section u. Shared driveways would be specified under workforce housing and not allow it in the standard subdivision regulation, allowing it only in a cluster housing development. All agreed to consider this for workforce and conservation subdivisions.

September 1, 2009

As to the asphalt specifications, Mr. Brennen asked for an opinion from an asphalt company as to what a typical driveway specification would entail.

2. Paved driveway apron Page 4, it was agreed to separate as a. and last paragraph is b.

Last sentence in a. refers to a bond or cash to be posted which indicates that the homeowner will have to post a bond. The concern is CO (Certificate of Occupancy) is issued and then they do not pave the apron. The regulation would allow for a six month extension. The only period you can be granted an extension is from November to May. Mr. Brennen indicated he had a problem with a bond for a single owner say the apron is \$1,000 they have to put up \$1,500 and their money is sitting there for 6 months thus tying up that money until it is paved and inspected. This seems like a lot for a typical

homeowner to go through. Mr. Wagner will take a look at enforcement for lots of record

Typical driveway diagram - Kevin Lynch had provided a detail from the Town of
Milford. Mr. Wagner explained the diagram to those present, page 6 of the proposed

18 regulation.

for non-compliance.

The Section on Waiver was removed from the last draft. Mr. Wagner did not like the wording and Mr. Lynch does not like waivers.

Mr. Wagner will meet with Kevin and Jack, and determine fees, etc. and hopefully to have a final copy of the driveway regulation for the next meeting. In the meantime, members will review the regulation.

2. Conservation Subdivisions

This was postponed until the next meeting when Marc Ducharme is present.

3. Any Other Business

Selectman Input - Selectman Byron asked about the floodplain ordinance. The ordinance has been updated and the Selectmen's resolution and the certification and Planning Board's copy of the floodplain ordinance and its certification has been sent to FEMA. The Town has met the September deadline.

Culverts - On another matter, Selectman Byron mentioned there are a lot of culverts in Town collapsing and this is something the Board should think about regarding culvert specifications. He was told that there is something in the regulations to cover it.

Bike Path - Selectman Byron mentioned the Bike Path dedication is September 16, 2009 at 10:30 a.m.

September 1, 2009

Gravel Pit - Mr. Byron asked about a gravel pit located on Hillcrest Road that was supposed to be reclaimed. Mr. Brennen recalls the lot was subdivided into four (4) lots and it was supposed to be loamed. Mr. Barry indicated that one lot was subdivided out and the rest is in current use. Chairman Douglas will check on the matter. Mr. Wagner suggested having he Code Enforcement Officer take a look at it.

New member - At the last meeting, John Miller met with the Board to discuss his desire to serve as a Planning Board member. There is an alternate position opened and once the Board votes to approve his request as an alternate, a letter would have to be forwarded to the Selectmen recommending the appointment.

Minutes - The Board reviewed the August 18, 2009, minutes. Mrs. Douglas ENTERTAINED A MOTION to accept the August 18, 2009. Mr. Almeida SO MOVED to accept the August 18, 2009, minutes as documented. Mr. Barry seconded. Motion carried 3-0-2.

Annandale Fields Subdivision - Chairman Douglas read a letter from Lou Caron, L.C. Engineering, to Sousa Realty requesting a guardrail between station 5+50 and 11+25, right in stations 5+ 10 to 11+90 left be constructed as shown on the site plan by September 30, 2009.

New member - Mr. Barry said that Mr. Miller would be a good member because he liked the way Mr. Miller listened when he was before the Board and that he stayed through most of the meeting. Chairman Douglas **ENTERTAINED A MOTION** to send a letter of recommendation to the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Almeida **SO MOVED** to recommend John Miller as an alternate member to the Planning Board and document recommendation to the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Brennen seconded. Motion carried 4-0-1.

There being no further business, Chairman Douglas **MOTIONED** to adjourn the meeting at 9:32 p.m. Mr. Barry seconded. Motion carried 5-0-0.

32	
33	Alison Douglas, Chairman
34	
35	
36	Edward Almeida, V.Chairman
37	
38	
39	Jayson Brennen
40	
41	
42	Leon Barry
43	
44	
45	Frank Byron, Selectman

September 1, 2009

ı	
2	Lorraine Dogopoulos
3	Recording Secretary
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
~	